

Lecture 10

Constraint Logic Programming

IT10021

Definitions

- Constraint programming (CP) is a declarative formalism that lets you describe conditions a solution must satisfy.
- CP can be used to model and solve various combinatorial problems such as
 - planning,
 - scheduling
 - allocation of tasks.

CLP in SWI-Prolog

- `library(clpfd)`: Constraint Logic Programming over Finite Domains
- `library(clpr)`: Constraint Logic Programming over Rationals and Reals¹

¹- library must be loaded explicitly before using it:
:- `use_module(library(clpq))`.

Constraint Logic Programming over Finite Domains (clpfd)

- Predicates of clpfd are
 - finite domain constraints, which are relations over integers.
 - generalise arithmetic evaluation of integer expressions in that propagation can proceed in all directions.
- Enumeration predicates let systematically search for solutions on variables whose domains are finite.

Finite domain expressions

an integer

a variable

-Expr

Expr + Expr

Expr * Expr

Expr - Expr

min(Expr,Expr)

max(Expr,Expr)

Expr mod Expr

abs(Expr)

Expr / Expr

- Given value

- Unknown value

- Unary minus

- Addition

- Multiplication

- Subtraction

- Minimum of two expressions

- Maximum of two expressions

- Remainder of integer division

- Absolute value

- Integer division

Finite domain constraints

$\text{Expr1} \#>= \text{Expr2}$	Expr1 is larger than or equal to Expr2
$\text{Expr1} \#=< \text{Expr2}$	Expr1 is smaller than or equal to Expr2
$\text{Expr1} \#= \text{Expr2}$	Expr1 equals Expr2
$\text{Expr1} \#\neq \text{Expr2}$	Expr1 is not equal to Expr2
$\text{Expr1} \#> \text{Expr2}$	Expr1 is strictly larger than Expr2
$\text{Expr1} \#< \text{Expr2}$	Expr1 is strictly smaller than Expr2

The constraints \neq , $=$, \neq , $<$, $>$, \leq , and \geq can be *reified*, which means reflecting their truth values by integers 0 and 1.

Reifiable constraints and Boolean variables

Let P and Q denote reifiable constraints, then

$\# \setminus Q$	True iff Q is false
$P \# \setminus / Q$	True iff either P or Q
$P \# / \setminus Q$	True iff both P and Q
$P \# \langle == \rangle Q$	True iff P and Q are equivalent
$P \# == \rangle Q$	True iff P implies Q
$P \# \langle == Q$	True iff Q implies P

Example

?- [library(clpfd)].

?- X #> 3.

X in 4..sup.

?- X #\= 20.

X in inf..19 \/ 21..sup.

?- 2*X #= 10.

X = 5.

?- X*X #= 144.

X in -12\/12.

Example

?- $4 * X + 2 * Y \neq 24$, $X + Y \neq 9$, $[X, Y]$ ins $0..sup$.

$X = 3$,

$Y = 6$.

?- $Vs = [X, Y, Z]$, Vs ins $1..3$, $all_different(Vs)$, $X = 1$, $Y \neq 2$.

$Vs = [1, 3, 2]$,

$X = 1$,

$Y = 3$,

$Z = 2$.

?- $X \neq Y \iff B$, X in $0..3$, Y in $4..5$.

$B = 0$,

X in $0..3$,

Y in $4..5$.

Usage of CLP

- Common scenario:
 1. Post the desired constraints among the variables of a model
 2. use enumeration predicates to search for solutions.

Example of constraint satisfaction problem:

cryptarithmic puzzle $SEND + MORE = MONEY$,

- where different letters denote distinct integers between 0 and 9.

Example (continues)

Modeling SEND + MORE = MONEY in CLP(FD):

```
:- use_module(library(clpfd)).
```

```
puzzle([S,E,N,D] + [M,O,R,E] = [M,O,N,E,Y]) :-
```

```
  Vars = [S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y],
```

```
  Vars ins 0..9,
```

```
  all_different(Vars),
```

```
    S*1000 + E*100 + N*10 + D +
```

```
    M*1000 + O*100 + R*10 + E
```

```
  #=
```

```
    M*10000 + O*1000 + N*100 + E*10 + Y,
```

```
  M #\= 0, S #\= 0.
```

```
                                % largest decimal places cannot  
                                be 0-s
```

Example (continues)

- Sample query and its result:

```
?- puzzle(As+Bs=Cs).
```

```
As = [9, _G10107, _G10110, _G10113],
```

```
Bs = [1, 0, _G10128, _G10107],
```

```
Cs = [1, 0, _G10110, _G10107, _G10152],
```

```
_G10107 in 4..7,
```

```
1000*9+91*_G10107+ -90*_G10110+_G10113+ -9000*1+ -900*0+10*_G10128+  
-1*_G10152#=0,
```

```
all_different([_G10107, _G10110, _G10113, _G10128, _G10152, 0, 1, 9]),
```

```
_G10110 in 5..8,
```

```
_G10113 in 2..8,
```

```
_G10128 in 2..8,
```

```
_G10152 in 2..8.
```

Example (continues)

- Constraint solver deduces bounds for all variables.
- Keeping the modeling part separate from the search allows more easily experiment with different search strategies.
- Labeling can then be used to search for solutions:

Example

?- puzzle(As+Bs=Cs), label(As).

As = [9, 5, 6, 7],

Bs = [1, 0, 8, 5],

Cs = [1, 0, 6, 5, 2] ;

false.

% label(As) - trying out values for the finite domain variables

Variable domain constraints

?Var in +Domain

Var is an element of Domain where the Domain is one of:

- Integer
Singleton set consisting only of Integer.
- Lower .. Upper
All integers I such that $\text{Lower} \leq I \leq \text{Upper}$. Lower must be an integer or the atom **inf**, which denotes negative infinity. Upper must be an integer or the atom **sup**, which denotes positive infinity.
- Domain₁ \ / Domain₂
The union of Domain₁ and Domain₂.

Variable domain constraints

+Vars ins +Domain

- The variables in the list Vars are elements of Domain.

indomain(?Var)

- Bind Var to all feasible values of its domain on backtracking.
- The domain of Var must be finite.

Labeling

labeling(+Options, +Vars)

- Labeling means systematically trying out values for the finite domain variables `Vars` until all of them are ground.
- The domain of each variable in `Vars` must be finite.
- `+Options` is a list of options that exhibits some control over the search process.
- Several categories of options exist

Labeling strategy options

- leftmost** - Label the variables in the order they occur in Vars (that is default)
- ff** - first fail. Label the leftmost variable with smallest domain next, in order to detect infeasibility early. This is often a good strategy.
- ffc** - label the variables with smallest domains, the leftmost one participating in most constraints is labeled next.
- min** - label the leftmost variable next, whose lower bound is the lowest.
- max** - label the leftmost variable next, whose upper bound is the highest.

Labeling strategy options (cont.)

The value order is one of:

up - try the elements of the chosen variable's domain in ascending order. This is default.

down - try the domain elements in descending order.

Labeling strategy options (cont.)

The branching strategy options:

step - for each variable X , a choice is made between $X = V$ and $X \neq V$, where V is determined by the value ordering options (default).

enum - for each variable X , a choice is made between $X = V_1, X = V_2 \dots$, for all values V_i of the domain of X .

The order is determined by the value ordering options.

bisect - for each variable X , a choice is made between $X \leq M$ and $X > M$, where M is the midpoint of the domain of X .

At most one option of each category can be specified, and an option must not occur repeatedly.

Labeling strategy options (cont.)

The order of solutions option:

min(Expr) - generates solutions in ascending order w.r.t. the evaluation of the arithmetic expression Expr

max(Expr) - generates solutions in descending order

- Labeling Vars must make Expr ground.
- If several options are specified, they are interpreted from left to right.

Labeling strategy options (cont.)

- Example:

?-[X,Y] ins 10..20, labeling([max(X),min(Y)],[X,Y]).

- This generates solutions in descending order of X
- But for each binding of X, solutions are generated in ascending order of Y.

Other labeling options

all_different(+Vars) -

all variables have pairwise distinct values

sum(+Vars, +Rel, ?Expr) -

The sum of elements of the list Vars is in relation Rel to Expr.

For example:

```
?- [A,B,C] ins 0..sup, sum([A,B,C], #=, 100).
```

```
  A in 0..100,
```

```
  A+B+C#=100,
```

```
  B in 0..100,
```

```
  C_in_0..100.
```

Other labeling options

scalar_product(+Cs, +Vs, +Rel, ?Expr)

- Cs is a list of integer constants,
- Vs is a list of variables and integers.
- True if the scalar product of Cs and Vs is in relation Rel to Expr.
 - Example:
 - `Scalar_product([4,5], [A,B], >, A-B).`

Sudoku

sudoku(Rows) :-

```
length(Rows, 9), maplist(length_(9), Rows),  
append(Rows, Vs), Vs ins 1..9,  
maplist(all_distinct, Rows),  
transpose(Rows, Columns),  
maplist(all_distinct, Columns),  
Rows = [A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I],  
blocks(A, B, C), blocks(D, E, F), blocks(G, H, I).
```

% maplist(:Goal, ?List) - true if Goal can successfully be applied on all elements of List.

% maplist(:Goal, ?List1, ?List2) - true if Goal can successfully be applied to all successive pairs of elements of List1 and List2.

```
length_(L, Ls) :-  
    length(Ls, L).
```

```
blocks([], [], []).
```

```
blocks([A,B,C|Bs1], [D,E,F|Bs2], [G,H,I|Bs3]) :-  
    all_distinct([A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I]),  
    blocks(Bs1, Bs2, Bs3).
```

problem(1,
[[_,_,_,_,_,_,_,_,_],
[_,_,_,_,_,3,_,8,5],
[_,_,1,_,2,_,_,_,_],
[_,_,_,5,_,7,_,_,_],
[_,_,4,_,_,_,1,_,_],
[_,9,_,_,_,_,_,_,_],
[5,_,_,_,_,_,_,7,3],
[_,_,2,_,1,_,_,_,_],
[_,_,_,_,4,_,_,_,9]]).

- `transpose(+Matrix, ?Transpose)`.

Transposes a list of lists of the same length.

- Example:

?- `transpose([[1,2,3],[4,5,6],[7,8,9]], Ts)`.

`Ts = [[1, 4, 7], [2, 5, 8], [3, 6, 9]]`

Query

?- problem(1, Rows), sudoku(Rows), maplist(writeln, Rows).

[9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1]

[2, 4, 6, 1, 7, 3, 9, 8, 5]

[3, 5, 1, 9, 2, 8, 7, 4, 6]

[1, 2, 8, 5, 3, 7, 6, 9, 4]

[6, 3, 4, 8, 9, 2, 1, 5, 7]

[7, 9, 5, 4, 6, 1, 8, 3, 2]

[5, 1, 9, 2, 8, 6, 4, 7, 3]

[4, 7, 2, 3, 1, 9, 5, 6, 8]

[8, 6, 3, 7, 4, 5, 2, 1, 9]

Rows = [[9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2|...], ... , [...|...]].